# UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)
## Summer Session 7 2011

Course: MATH 002 Section: 802 - MATH SUPPORT
PRACTICUM
Instructor: Soheil Safii
Home Dept.: Mathematics

| | Enrollment: 14 | Enrollment: 463 | Enrollment: 718 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Respondents: 11 | Respondents: 270 | Respondents: 387 |
| | Response Rate: 79% | Response Rate: 58% | Response Rate: 54% |

| Questions | 5 High | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 Low | N/A | Mean | Med | SD | % tile | Mean | Med | SD | % tile | Mean | Med | SD |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | **Course** | | | **Department** | | | | **Campus** | | | |
| 1 I had a strong desire to take this course | 8 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.5 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 85 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 87 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| 2 I attended class regularly | 7 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.4 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 25 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 40 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.7 |
| 3 I put considerable effort into this course | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 44 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 40 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 4 I gained a good understanding of the course content | 8 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.5 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 70 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 62 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3.8 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 33 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 43 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 6 Instructor was prepared and organized | 6 | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 50 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 46 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 7 Instructor used class time effectively | 8 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.5 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 44 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 58 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 8 Instructor was clear and understandable | 6 | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 46 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 53 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 0.9 |
| 9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching | 6 | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 54 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 53 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.9 |
| 10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress | 8 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.5 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 50 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 58 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 |
| 11 Instructor was available and helpful | 9 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.5 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 50 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 62 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 36 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 50 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall | 7 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.4 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 55 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 54 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 4.1 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 27 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 31 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.7 |
| 15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course | 6 | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 40 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 42 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.7 |
| 16 The required readings contributed to my learning | 6 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 4.0 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 45 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 36 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 0.9 |
| 17 The assignments contributed to my learning | 7 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.4 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 55 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 54 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, demonstrations, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 4.1 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 45 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 38 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| 19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent | 7 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 4.4 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 64 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 58 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| 20 Q1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3.7 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 25 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 40 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 1.1 |
| 21 Q2 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3.7 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 33 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 40 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 1.1 |
| 22 Q3 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3.7 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 33 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 40 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 1.1 |
| 23 Q4 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3.7 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 33 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 40 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 1.1 |
| 24 Q5 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3.7 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 33 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 40 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 1.1 |

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

**Question # 25**: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and maybe used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous.

- Great fuckin teacher :)

- Recommendable Professor.

- Soheil Safii was a great instructor and was extremely nice and offered to do more examples if we were still unsure or confused. He would review everything we would learn and made it easier to understand.

- Ms. ███████ was by far one of the best teachers I have ever had. Not only were her notes organized, but her lessons always consisted of her taking us step by step to fully comprehend the curriculum she was teaching us. Her midterms and quizzes were always based on what we were covering in class and she always stayed after lecture to answer any questions, or further explain a concept that was not understood. I feel extremely blessed to be taught by such a well spoken professor. I'm crossing my fingers that I will by chance have her teach me again!

- I understood how he taught the lesson and liked his method of teaching.

- Usually very clear, always explained each step and went over plenty of examples. Sometimes the way he does math is different from the other instructors so once in a while I get confused. Usually learning two methods is good though.

- good

- She was a really good instructor.

- Good teacher very organized and prepared.